UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,556	11/25/2003	Baosheng D. Huang	112948CON	5831
26652	7590 10/05/2007		EXAM	INER
AT&T CORP. ROOM 2A207		•	JAGANNATHAN, MELANIE	
ONE AT&T WAY BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	10/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/721,556	HUANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melanie Jagannathan	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
Period for Reply	/ IC CET TO EVOIDE AMONTH	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	ıly 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	·					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	e r .					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37.CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	Raminer. Note the attached Office	EACHOR OF TOTAL				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
·						
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Application Number: 10/721,556 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner has considered Amendment after Non-Final mailed 7/16/2007.

 After further consideration, Examiner withdraws the claim of allowable subject matter in claims 16-25. Examiner regrets any inconvenience and submits a new

grounds of rejection herewith.

Claims 16-25 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 16-21, 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beshai U.S. 6,356,546.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 16-17, 23-25, the claimed receiving a request for a path through a network of structure groups between a source node and a sink node is disclosed by connection admission request from source includes connection admission parameters and different classes of service. See column 2, lines 49-67 and column 3, lines 6-31.

The claimed determining virtual circuit information for each structure group in network of structure groups is disclosed by five-module network with each module (Figure 10, elements A-E) having local ports. The claimed determination of virtual circuit information for each structure group in network is disclosed by local ports routing traffic to local sinks (Figure 10). See column 19, lines 18-40. The modules being interconnected by links where a module (Figure 10, element A) can send data to another module (element C) by direct route (element 99) or through two-link routes (elements 100-101 or 102-103). The claimed determination of path using virtual circuit information includes the number of path using a common channel through structure group between any pair of nodes is disclosed by each module having a module control element (Figure 7, element 85) that receives least cost routing table information from network controller to be used to select route for each path. See column 15, lines 37-61. The cost of each route being taken into account and that route lengths are also taken into account since they contribute in a substantial cost difference between routes. See column 16, lines 39-65. The direct route between nodes is attempted first but if none of the direct routes are available then alternate routes involving two hops are attempted in order to minimize cost of route. See column 16, lines 39-65.

Application Number: 10/721,556 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

Beshai discloses each module maintaining a record of resources consumed by the path from a resource pool and a connection control table maintaining active connections. Each module selects least cost routes based on availability and the availability of routes is updated periodically. See column 12, lines 51-65 and column 15, line 37-56. However, Beshai does not explicitly disclose the claimed slot-edge matrix is maintained for each data structure for requestable time periods, the availability of a channel is determined based on the slot-edge matrix. At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to modify Beshai's connection tables to be maintained for time frame requested. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do so to provide quality of service to sources.

Regarding claim 18, the claimed virtual circuit information including information includes the number of nodes visited on path is disclosed by to promote efficient utilization of network, the vacancy of all channels is substantially equalized and there is a direct path and (N-2) two-hop paths available to each connection in the network where N is the number of modules where direct routes are attempted first and then the alternate routes are attempted. See column 16, lines 7-9, and lines 39-52.

Regarding claim 19, the claimed request includes the type of service desired, bandwidth desired, if bandwidth is available, step of determining a path through network selects a path using the desired service type is disclosed by connection admission request from source includes connection admission parameters and different classes of service. See column 2, lines 49-67 and column 3, lines 6-31.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims 20-21, the claimed SONET and fiber-optic service is disclosed by the modules being connected by optical cross connectors (Figure 6, element 84), which are interconnected by optical links, and the optical links supporting several wavelengths. See column 14, lines 50-61.

3. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beshai in view of Arslan et al. US 5,444,693.

Beshai discloses all of the limitations except for nodes being pseudo nodes.

Arslan discloses providing a source and destination node along a path. See column 20, lines 49-68. At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to modify Beshai's nodes to include pseudo nodes. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do so to restore circuit services faster.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/16/2007 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Jagannathan whose telephone number is 571-272-3163. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

Application Number: 10/721,556 Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melanie Jagannathar Patent Examiner Art Unit 2616 September 28, 2007